

[illegible]

ventional camera having "point perspective" picture ge

The geometry of thelander pictures is complicated by additional factors. Because both landers are tilted with respect to the horizon, the uncorrected pictures of the horizon resembles a sine curve. Computer rectification of the picture results in a straight horizon along which vertical angles can be measured with respect to the local gravity vector, and horizontal angles can be measured from martian north. The angles are not related in any simple way to the azimuth or elevation angles given in "camera coordinates" for the unrectified pictures.

The side of the land on which the two cameras are mounted is approximately 100 m from the edge of the lake (see Fig. 1). The cameras are pointed in a direction normal to the front of the lander, the viewing direction is 141.6° clockwise from north along the horizon. The first picture taken by the cameras is of the lander and the lander's footpad 3, was taken immediately after landing by camera 2, during an ensuing 43 s, the second picture was taken by camera 1, and was successfully carried out after their assigned mission. On September 2, the activities of Lander 1 were repeated. The cameras were mounted on the same

procedures used for processing the Viking Lander camera data are described by Lewis and Soderstrom (1976). Individual camera events used in this analysis are identified in the outline of the accompanying camera view. Detailed descriptions and reproductions of the camera pictures can be obtained from the Viking Lander camera picture archive at the National Space Science Center, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, 20771.

The Lander camera system (Lewis and Soderstrom 1976) has infinite focus settings for a depth of field from 1.2 m to infinity, the high-resolution (0.04°) instantaneous field of view (IFOV) of the cameras is 0.04° and the instantaneous field of view of 0.12° ; this mode was used in the missions only where no high-resolution data were acquired.

There are other geometric distortions due to the camera's optical path distortion that affects a light ray after it passes the camera windows, and camera-system distortions called "bolt-down" errors, that are caused by the way the cameras are mounted on the lander. The geometric transformation used in creating the mosaics took into account the optical path distortion but not the "bolt-down" errors. However, along the horizon, the error in azimuth angle is equal to the rotational "bolt-down" error for each camera to an accuracy of less than 1 pixel. The scale "azimuth angles from Mars north" has been adjusted to take into account this correction.

The residual azimuth angle errors are less than 1 pixel along the horizon and become larger with steeper elevation angle and larger lander tilts. For the worst case, Lander 2, camera 1, this error is a maximum of 5.7 ± 1 pixels at -60° elevation. The somewhat sinusoidal azimuth-dependent residual elevation error is a maximum of 3 ± 1 pixels for Lander 2, camera 1, and approximately 1 pixel for the other cameras.

- Control net of Mars: February 1978. *Rand. Comp. & Eng.* 1978, 1, 1-10.
- Kerr, R. F., McCall, H. B., Patterson, W. R. and Tyson, G. R. 1975. The Viking Mars Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 80, 28, 4953-4962.
- Kerr, R. F., Burchett, E. E., Taylor, J. J. and Wall, S. L. 1976. The Viking Mars Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 81, 1, 1-10.
- Levinthal, E. C. 1980. The mosaics of Mars as seen by the Viking cameras. *J. Geophys. Res.* 85, 1, 1-10.
- Levinthal, E. C., Green, W. J., Patterson, W. R. and Tucker, R. 1977. Processing the Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 82, 28, 28, 4231-4240.
- Mayo, A. F., Blackwell, W. T., Tolson, R. H., McCall, H. B., Patterson, W. R. and Tyson, G. R. 1977. Lander location. Mars physical experiments, and solar position. *J. Geophys. Res.* 82, 28, 4241-4250.
- Moore, W. R. 1978. The Viking Mars Lander camera tracking data. *J. Geophys. Res.* 83, 28, 4251-4260.
- Moore, W. R. 1980. The Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 85, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1981. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 86, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1982. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 87, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1983. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 88, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1984. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 89, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1985. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 90, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1986. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 91, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1987. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 92, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1988. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 93, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1989. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 94, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1990. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 95, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1991. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 96, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1992. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 97, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1993. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 98, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1994. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 99, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1995. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 100, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1996. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 101, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1997. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 102, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1998. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 103, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 1999. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 104, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2000. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 105, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2001. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 106, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2002. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 107, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2003. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 108, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2004. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 109, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2005. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 110, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2006. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2007. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 112, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2008. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2009. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2010. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2011. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2012. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 117, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2013. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 118, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2014. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 119, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2015. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 120, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2016. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 121, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2017. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 122, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2018. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 123, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2019. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 124, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2020. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 125, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2021. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 126, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2022. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 127, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2023. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 128, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2024. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 129, 1, 1-10.
- Moore, W. R. 2025. Viking Lander camera calibration. *J. Geophys. Res.* 130, 1, 1-10.

The cameras on the Viking Lander acquire data by sampling in equal increments of elevation and azimuth angle. In the accompanying mosaic, 8 mm subtends a 1° horizontal or vertical angle, regardless of the place of measurement within the panorama. If the martian surface were flat, one pixel (0.04°) on the surface would be 1 mm wide at 60° camera elevation and 2 m wide at the horizon 3 km away. Characteristically for this type of imaging system, most straight lines in the scene appear curved in the reconstruction. This representation of the picture data differs from that of a com-